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During 2014, 50 states and Puerto Rico reported 6,033 rabid animals and I human case of rabies to the CDC, representing a $2.83 \%$ increase from the 5,865 rabid animals and 3 human cases of rabies reported in 2013 . Of the 6,034 cases of rabies, 5,588 ( $92.61 \%$ ) involved wildlife. Relative contributions by the major animal groups were as follows: I,822 (30.20\%) raccoons, I,756 (29.10\%) bats, I,588 (26.32\%) skunks, 3 II (5.15\%) foxes, 272 (4.5I\%) cats, 78 ( $1.29 \%$ ) cattle, and $59(0.98 \%)$ dogs. Compared with 2013 , there was a substantial increase in the number of samples submitted for rabies testing. The I human case of rabies involved a 52 -year-old male in Missouri. Infection was determined to be a result of a rabies virus variant associated with Perimyotis subflavus; however, no specific exposure event was identified.

The present report provides a detailed update on rabies epidemiology and events in the United States during 2014 as well as a brief summary of rabies events in 2015. Updates are also provided for Canada and Mexico.

Rabies is caused by neurotrophic viruses of the genus Lyssavirus. It is almost always fatal once clinical signs develop, but is preventable if appropriate postexposure prophylaxis is administered in a timely manner. The primary route of transmission is through the bite of an infected mammal, but rabies may also be transmitted when fresh saliva from an infected animal comes into contact with a wound or mucous membranes. For human patients who have never been vaccinated against rabies, postexposure prophylaxis consists of immediate cleansing of any bite wounds with soap and water, infiltration of the wounds with human rabies immune globulin, and administration of 4 doses of rabies vaccine over the next 14 days. ${ }^{1,2}$

Since 1980, wildlife has accounted for $>90 \%$ of all rabid animals reported in the United States. The 5 species considered primary reservoirs include raccoons, bats, skunks, foxes, and mongooses (in Puerto Rico). Although crossspecies transmission of rabies does occur (eg, infection of domestic dogs with the raccoon rabies variant), rabies virus variants are primarily transmitted
within a single species that is the reservoir of that variant. Rabies virus variants associated with the major mesocarnivore species (ie, raccoons, skunks, foxes, and mongooses) are distributed in distinct geographic regions (Figure I), whereas rabies virus variants associated with bat species are broadly distributed across the geographic ranges associated with specific bat species. Natural and anthropogenic factors (eg, drought


Figure I-Distribution of major rabies virus variants among mesocarnivores in the United States and Puerto Rico for 2008 through 2014. Black diagonal lines represent fox rabies variants (Arizona gray fox and Texas gray fox). Solid borders represent 5 -year rabies virus variant aggregates for 2009 through 2014; dashed borders represent the previous 5-year aggregates for 2008 through 2013.
and oral vaccination, respectively) may change the spatial boundaries of these rabies virus variants over time. ${ }^{3}$

The Wildlife Services department of the USDA's APHIS leads a large-scale program to control rabies in wildlife. Efforts are primarily focused on delivering oral rabies vaccine-laden baits targeted at raccoons along the East Coast of the United States. Oral vaccination of wildlife (primarily foxes and raccoons) has greatly reduced the spread of rabies in numerous countries in North America and Europe. ${ }^{4-6}$ Rabies vaccination of bats is currently not feasible, and preventing infection of humans with bat rabies virus variants continues to rely on secondary intervention methods such as health education, exposure prevention, and postexposure prophylaxis.

Elimination of the canine rabies virus variant, vaccination of wildlife, appropriate and timely postexposure prophylaxis, and education of health-care professionals and the public have all led to a dramatic reduction in the number of human rabies cases in the United States over the past several decades. However, human deaths continue to occur, albeit infrequently, primarily as a result of exposure to bats. ${ }^{7}$

To prevent unnecessary administration of postexposure prophylaxis after exposure of a person to an animal suspected to be rabid, an appropriate risk assessment should be performed. When feasible, this risk assessment should include laboratory testing of the suspected rabid animal for rabies virus. However, in the case of a potential rabies exposure involving a cat, dog, or ferret, a 10-day confinement and observation period can be used, thereby potentially preventing unnecessary euthanasia of animals for testing. ${ }^{8}$ In instances when people have been exposed to wildlife or other domestic species, immediate euthanasia and laboratory testing is the most prudent course of action. ${ }^{8,9}$ Potential contact with bats can warrant additional precautions and more extensive risk assessment. For example, the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices recommends evaluating not just those individuals who have come into direct contact with or been bitten by a bat but also individuals who may have had unacknowledged contact with a bat (eg, if a bat is found in the room with a deeply sleeping person, unattended child, or mentally disabled or intoxicated person). ${ }^{1}$ Testing of bats implicated in presumptive human exposures remains the most definitive way to rule out the risk of rabies transmission in these situations.

## Reporting and Analysis

Human and animal rabies have been nationally notifiable conditions in the United States since 1944. ${ }^{10}$ Currently, > 130 state health, agriculture, and university laboratories in the United States perform routine rabies diagnostic testing on animals with a direct fluorescent antibody test. ${ }^{11}$ In addition, as a component of oral rabies vaccination programs, the USDA Wildlife Services performs targeted, enhanced surveillance testing with a direct rapid immunohistochemical test. ${ }^{5,12}$

The USDA Wildlife Services and other reporting entities submit animal rabies data directly to the CDC Poxvirus and Rabies Branch on a monthly or annual basis. During 2014, a total of 104,313 samples were submitted for laboratory testing, of which 101,708 ( $97.5 \%$ ) were considered suitable for testing. This represented a $7.8 \%$ increase in the number of animals tested, compared with the 94,359 animals tested during 2013. Of the animals submitted for testing, 5,843 (5.7\%) were submitted by USDA Wildlife Services personnel as part of active surveillance efforts.

The CDC rabies program requests detailed information on animals submitted for rabies testing. ${ }^{13}$ All states provided data on species, county, and date of testing or specimen collection for all animals submitted for rabies testing. Information on vaccination status of domestic animals and results of rabies virus variant typing for rabid animals (when performed) were also requested.

For the present report, percentages of rabid animals were calculated on the basis of total numbers of animals tested. These percentages are likely not reliable indicators of the true incidence of rabies within animal populations because most animals submitted for testing were selected on the basis of abnormal behavior or visible illness or were involved in a potential exposure incident, biasing the sample submitted for testing. In addition, any comparisons between states should take into account differences in available resources and submission protocols between jurisdictions. Per capita submission rates were calculated on the basis of 2010 population data available from the US Census Bureau. ${ }^{14}$

Geographic ranges of terrestrial rabies virus variant reservoirs in the United States were produced by aggregating counts of rabid animals from 2008 through 2014 by species. ${ }^{13}$ Areas designated with potential host shift events signify regions where new rabies virus variants may be emerging. ${ }^{15}$

Data for Canada were provided by the Canadian Food Inspection Agency Centre of Expertise for Rabies, Ottawa, ON. Summary data for Mexico were provided by the Instituto de Salud del Estado de México.

## Rabies in Wild Animals

Wild animals accounted for $92.61 \%(5,588 / 6,034)$ of the rabies cases reported in 2014, representing a $3.52 \%$ increase in the number of rabid wild animals reported, compared with the 5,398 rabid wild animals reported in 2013 (Table I). As has been the trend over the past 2 decades (Figure 2), raccoons were the most frequently reported rabid wildlife species, representing $30.20 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=1,822$ ) of all rabies cases during 2014, followed by bats (29.10\% [1,756]), skunks (26.32\% [1,588]), foxes ( $5.15 \%$ [311]), other wild animals ( $1.09 \%$ [66]), and rodents and lagomorphs ( $0.75 \%$ [45]). Bats were the animals most frequently tested $(\mathrm{n}=28,154)$, followed by raccoons $(12,297)$, skunks $(5,058)$, and foxes $(1,515)$.
Table I-Cases of rabies in the United States, by location, during 2014.

| Location | Reservoir | Total cases | Domestic animals | Wildlife | Domestic animals |  |  |  |  |  | Wildlife |  |  |  |  |  | Humans | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { Pos } \\ 2014 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ \text { cases } \end{array}$ | Change (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cats | Cattle | Dogs | Horses and mules | Sheep and goats | Other domestic* | Raccoons | Bats | Skunks | Foxes | Other wild $\dagger$ | Rodents and lagomorphs $\ddagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| AK | Arctic fox | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.5 | 9 | -66.67 |
| AL | Raccoon | 86 | 4 | 82 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 16 | 1 | 8 | $2^{\text {b }}$ | 0 | 0 | 3.3 | 60 | 43.33 |
| AR | Skunk | 152 | 7 | 145 | 3 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 110 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 11.7 | 151 | 0.66 |
| AZ | Skunk | 157 | 0 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 61 | 8 | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 0 | 0 | 18.1 | 77 | 103.90 |
| CA | Skunk | 200 | 3 | 197 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.4 | 198 | 1.01 |
| CO | Skunk | 131 | 2 | 129 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 94 | 32 | 1 | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0 | 0 | 8.6 | 187 | -29.95 |
| CT | Raccoon | 183 | 8 | 175 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 96 | 25 | 41 | 9 | ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $3^{6}$ | 0 | 7.9 | 150 | 22.00 |
| DC | Raccoon | 40 | 2 | 38 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.8 | 57 | -29.82 |
| DE | Raccoon | 9 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.8 | 17 | -47.06 |
| FL | Raccoon | 95 | 18 | 77 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 19 | 0 | 5 | O | 0 | 0 | 4.3 | 108 | -12.04 |
| GA | Raccoon | 272 | 19 | 253 | 17 | 0 | , | 0 | 1 | 0 | 137 | 25 | 48 | 38 | $4{ }^{4}$ | $\mathrm{I}^{10}$ | 0 | 13.0 | 297 | -8.42 |
| Hi | Bat only | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| IA | Skunk | 15 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 12 | 25.00 |
| ID | None | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.2 | 26 | -53.85 |
| IL | None | 40 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 54 | -25.93 |
| IN | Skunk | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 10 | 20.00 |
| KS | Skunk | 70 | 18 | 52 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.2 | 60 | 16.67 |
| KY | Skunk | 10 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 16 | -37.50 |
| LA | Skunk | 5 | 2 | 3 | I | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0.9 | 8 | -37.50 |
| MA | Raccoon | 148 | 4 | 144 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 40 | 39 | 0 | $2^{88}$ | $5{ }^{\circ}$ | 0 | 5.3 | 100 | 48.00 |
| MD | Raccoon | 344 | 18 | 326 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 192 | 79 | 21 | 27 | $2^{\text {h }}$ | 5w | 0 | 8.7 | 382 | -9.95 |
| ME | Raccoon | 43 | 3 | 40 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 4 | 13 | 8 | 0 | ${ }^{1 \times}$ | 0 | 7.0 | 54 | -20.37 |
| MI | Skunk | 43 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 40 | 7.50 |
| MN | Skunk | 33 | 2 | 31 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 63 | -47.62 |
| MO | Skunk | 28 | 2 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | I | 1.4 | 40 | -30.00 |
| MS | Bat only | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 5 | -80.00 |
| MT | Skunk | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.0 | 36 | -55.56 |
| MC | Raccoon | 355 | 23 | 332 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 188 | 31 | 69 | 41 | $3{ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 8.2 | 385 | -7.79 |
| ND | Skunk | 18 | 5 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.6 | 40 | -55.00 |
| NE | Skunk | 21 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.0 | 33 | -36.36 |
| NH | Raccoon | 23 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 0 | \|r | 0 | 5.1 | 34 | -32.35 |
| NJ | Raccoon | 349 | 24 | 325 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 77 | 37 | 9 | $\mathrm{I}^{\text {i }}$ | $8^{\text {z }}$ | 0 | 9.8 | 315 | 10.79 |
| NM | Skunk | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.6 | 11 | 9.09 |
| NV | Bat only | 14 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.3 | 9 | 55.56 |
| NY | Raccoon | 372 | 32 | 340 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 166 | 98 | 45 | 24 | $2^{\text {k }}$ | $5{ }^{2 a}$ | 0 | 5.9 | 335 | 11.04 |
| NYC | Raccoon | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2.9 | 56 | -78.57 |
| OH | Bat only | 28 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 60 | -53.33 |
| OK | Skunk | 106 | 33 | 73 | 5 | 14 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 69 | 0 | ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | 0 | 0 | 9.4 | 85 | 24.71 |
| OR | Bat only | 13 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.4 | 10 | 30.00 |
| PA | Raccoon | 402 | 53 | 349 | 47 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 215 | 61 | 44 | 19 | $3^{n}$ | ${ }^{\text {7ab }}$ | 0 | 6.0 | 361 | 11.36 |
| PR | Mongoose | 45 | 13 | 32 | I | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $32^{\circ}$ | 0 | 0 | 40.2 | 54 | -16.67 |
| RI | Raccoon | 27 | 2 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 0 | $3^{\text {ac }}$ | 0 | 3.9 | 28 | -3.57 |
| SC | Raccoon | 140 | 17 | 123 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{Ia}^{\text {a }}$ | 70 | 9 | 33 | 9 | $2^{p}$ | 0 | 0 | 8.6 | 124 | 12.90 |
| SD | Skunk | 21 | 3 | 18 | 1 | I | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.6 | 28 | -25.00 |
| TN | Skunk | 40 | 2 | 38 | 0 | I | I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.7 | 36 | 11.11 |
| TX | Skunk | 1,133 | 63 | 1,070 | 22 | 15 | 14 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 513 | 504 | 22 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 8.7 | 937 | 20.92 |
| UT | Bat only | 22 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.7 | 12 | 83.33 |
| VA | Raccoon | 528 | 42 | 486 | 28 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 243 | 23 | 166 | 46 | $3{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $5^{\text {ad }}$ | 0 | 13.2 | 506 | 4.35 |
| VT | Raccoon | 55 | 1 | 54 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 3 | 17 | 3 | $2^{5}$ | ${ }^{\text {ae }}$ | 0 | 12.4 | 50 | 10.00 |
| WA | Bat only | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.6 | 12 | 25.00 |
| WI | Skunk | 27 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 30 | -10.00 |
| WV | Raccoon | 50 | 5 | 45 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 31 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.6 | 91 | -45.05 |
| WY | Skunk | 58 | 1 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.8 | 9 | 544.44 |
| Total |  | 6,034 | 445 | 5,588 | 272 | 78 | 59 | 25 | 10 | 0 | 1,822 | 1,756 | 1,588 | 311 | 66 | 45 |  | - | 5,868 | - |
|  | \% 2014 | 100 | 7.37 | 92.61 | 4.51 | 1.29 | 0.98 | 0.41 | 0.17 | 0.02 | 30.20 | 29.10 | 26.32 | 5.15 | 1.09 | 0.75 | 0.02 |  |  |  |
|  | \% Pos 2014 | 5.93 | 0.90 | 10.80 | 1.14 | 6.07 | 0.27 | 3.33 | 1.64 | 2.56 | 14.82 | 6.24 | 31.40 | 20.53 | 2.80 | 1.96 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total 2013 | 5,868 | 467 | 5,398 | 247 | 86 | 89 | 31 | 9 | 5 | 1,898 | 1,598 | 1,447 | 344 | 71 | 40 | 3 |  |  |  |
|  | \% Change | 2.83 | -4.71 | 3.52 | 10.12 | -9.30 | -33.71 | -19.35 | 11.11 | -80.00 | -4.00 | 9.89 | 9.74 | -9.59 | -7.04 | 12.50 | -66.67 |  |  |  |

[^0]Seasonal trends for wildlife species were consistent with those for previous years. Numbers of rabid raccoons and skunks reported to the CDC peaked in April, with a moderate second peak around September. There were sharp peaks in the number of rabid foxes in July and in the number of rabid bats in August.

## Raccoons

The 1,822 rabid raccoons reported in 2014 represented a $4.00 \%$ decrease, compared with the 1,898 reported in 2013 (Table 1). The percentage of raccoons


Figure 2-Cases of rabies among wildlife in the United States, by year and species, for 1983 through 2014.
submitted for testing that were found to be rabid decreased to $14.8 \%$, compared with $16.3 \%$ in 2013 (Table 2). However, this was not a significant change from the 5-year mean for percentage of tested raccoons found to be rabid (14.5\%). Twelve of the 20 Eastern states where raccoon rabies is considered enzootic, the District of Columbia, and New York City reported fewer numbers of rabid raccoons, with 8 states (Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Maryland, Maine, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and West Virginia), the District of Columbia, and New York City reporting decreases of $>10 \%$ in the number of rabid raccoons, compared with numbers reported in 2013. States in which raccoon rabies was considered enzootic accounted for $98.0 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=1,785$ ) of all rabid raccoons reported in 2014 (Figure 3). The remaining rabid raccoons were reported by states where the raccoon rabies virus variant is not enzootic:Texas ( $\mathrm{n}=28$ ), Ohio (7), Colorado (1), and Tennessee (1). Rabies virus variant information was available for only $17.0 \%$ (310) of rabid raccoons (Table 3), with the eastern raccoon virus variant identified in 283 of these 310 ( $91.3 \%$ ) rabid raccoons. The south central skunk variant was found in 26 raccoons from Texas, and the north central skunk variant was found in 1 raccoon from Tennessee. Overall, states in which the raccoon rabies virus variant was considered enzootic, excluding Tennessee and Ohio, submitted 38.5 animals/100,000 persons for rabies testing during 2014, a slight increase from the

Table 2-Number of animals reported to be rabid in the United States and percentages of samples tested for rabies that yielded positive results for 2009 through 2014.

| Animals | 2014 |  | 2009-2013 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of rabid animals | Percentage of samples with positive results | No. of rabid animals |  | Percentage of samples with positive results |  |
|  |  |  | Mean | 95\% CI | Mean | 95\% CI |
| Domestic animals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cats | 272 | 1.1 | 283 | 254-313 | 1.1 | 1.0-1.2 |
| Cattle | 78 | 6.1 | 82 | 60-104 | 6.7 | 5.4-7.9 |
| Dogs | 59* | 0.3 | 79 | 69-88 | 0.3 | 0.3-0.4 |
| Horses and mules | 25* | 3.3* | 40 | 34-46 | 4.4 | 3.7-5.2 |
| Sheep and goats | 10 | 1.6 | 10 | 6-13 | 1.9 | 1.2-2.7 |
| Wildlife |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raccoons | 1,822* | 14.8 | 2,101 | 1,905-2,298 | 14.5 | 12.7-16.9 |
| Bats | 1,756* | 6.2 | 1,547 | 1,396-1,698 | 6.1 | 5.7-6.5 |
| Skunks | 1,588 | 31.4 | 1,536 | 1,443-1,630 | 30.1 | 25.0-32.9 |
| Foxes | 311* | 20.8 | 409 | 333-485 | 21.4 | 17.3-25.7 |
| All rabid animals | 6,033 | 5.9 | 6,213 | 5,874-6,55। | 6.0 | 5.7-6.3 |
| Rabid domestic animals | 445* | 0.9 | 495 | 471-518 | 1.0 | 0.9-1.0 |
| Rabid wildlife | 5,588 | 10.8 | 5,717 | 5,394-6,042 | 10.9 | 10.3-11.6 |

[^1]37.9 animals/100,000 persons submitted for rabies testing during 2013.

## Bats

There were 1,756 rabid bats reported during 2014, representing a $9.89 \%$ increase, compared with the 1,598 rabid bats reported in 2013 (Table 1). The percentage of bats submitted for testing that were rabid (6.2\%) was not significantly higher than the mean percentage for the previous 5 years ( $6.1 \%$; Table 2). All 48 contiguous states reported rabid bats (Figure 4). No rabid bats were reported in New York City, Hawaii, or Puerto Rico. Four states (Illinois, Indiana, Mississippi, and Washington) reported that bats were the only rabid animal found in 2014. A $\geq 50 \%$ increase in the number of rabid bats was reported by 13 states (Alabama [129\% increase], Massachusetts [122\% increase], Alaska [100\% increase], New Hampshire [100\% increase], West Virginia [100\% increase], Arizona [89\% increase], South Carolina


Figure 3-Reported cases of rabies involving raccoons, by county, during 2014. Histogram represents number of counties in each category for total number of raccoons submitted for rabies testing. Point locations for rabid raccoons were randomly selected within each reporting jurisdiction.
[80\% increase], Utah [75\% increase], Iowa [67\% increase], Nebraska [67\% increase], Nevada [63\% increase], Maryland [55\% increase], and Wyoming [50\% increase]). Among the bats tested for rabies, 13,542 ( $48.1 \%$ ) were identified beyond the taxonomic level of order (Table 4). Overall, states for which bats were the only recognized reservoir for rabies submitted 22.7 animals $/ 100,000$ persons for rabies testing during 2014, compared with 21.5 animals $/ 100,000$ persons submitted during 2013.

## Skunks

There was a $9.74 \%$ increase in the number of rabid skunks reported during 2014 ( $\mathrm{n}=1,588$ ), compared with the number reported during 2013 ( 1,447 ; Table 1). The percentage of skunks tested during 2014 that were found to be rabid (31.4\%) was slightly increased, compared with the previous 5-year mean ( $30.1 \%$; Table 2 ). Three of the 22 states where skunk rabies virus variants were considered enzootic reported $\mathrm{a} \geq 50 \%$ increase in the number of rabid skunks during 2014, compared with 2013 (Wyoming [1,150\% increase], Arizona [177\% increase], and Tennessee [71\%]). Illinois has not reported any rabid skunks since 2005 , and Indiana has not reported any rabid skunks since 2007 . States in which the south central skunk rabies virus variant was enzootic reported $53.3 \%$ of all rabid skunks, states in which the north central skunk rabies virus variant was enzootic reported $7.4 \%$ of all rabid skunks, and states in which the California skunk rabies virus variant was enzootic reported $1.8 \%$ of all rabid skunks (Figure 5).A total of 37.3\% of all rabid skunks were from states where the raccoon rabies virus variant was enzootic. Rabies virus variant information was available for 745 of the $1,588(46.9 \%)$ rabid skunks reported during 2014 (Table 3). The most common rabies virus variant was south central skunk ( 556 [74.6\%]), followed by eastern raccoon (171 [23.0\%]), north central skunk (14 [1.9\%]), and

Table 3-Rabies virus variants identified in domestic and wild animals in 2014.

|  | Domestic animals |  |  |  |  |  | Wildlife |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variant | Cats | Cattle | Dogs | Horses and mules | Sheep and goats | Other domestic | Raccoons | s Bats | Skunks | Foxes | Other wild $\dagger$ | Rodents and lagomorphs $\ddagger$ |  |
| Raccoon | 38 | 14 | 7 | 1 | I | 1 | 283 | 0 | 171 | 63 | 7 | 7 | 593 |
| South central skunk | 26 | 24 | 14 | 13 | I | 0 | 26 | 0 | 556 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 684 |
| North central skunk | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | I | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| California skunk | 0 | 0 | I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | I |
| Arctic fox | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | I | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Arizona gray fox | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Texas gray fox | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bat | 1 | 0 | I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 467 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 478 |
| No variant reported | 207 | 35 | 33 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 1,512 1, | 1,289 | 843 | 220 | 56 | 38 | 4,252 |
| Total infected | 272 | 78 | 59 | 25 | 10 | 1 | 1,822 I, | 1,756 | 1,588 | 311 | 66 | 45 | 6,033 |
| Variant typed (\%) | 23.9 | 55.1 | 44.1 | 56.0 | 20.0 | 100.0 | 17.0 | 26.6 | 46.9 | 29.3 | 15.2 | 15.6 | 29.5 |

[^2]

Figure 4-Reported cases of rabies involving bats, by county, during 2014 Histogram represents number of counties in each category for total number of bats submitted for rabies testing. Point locations for rabid bats were randomly selected within each reporting jurisdiction.
various bat variants (4 [0.5\%]). Overall, states where skunks were the primary reservoir for rabies submitted 34.2 animals $/ 100,000$ persons for rabies testing during 2014, up from 29.5 animals/100,000 persons in 2013.

## Foxes

There were 311 rabid foxes reported during 2014, which represented a $9.59 \%$ decrease, compared with the 344 reported in 2013 (Table 1). The percentage of foxes submitted for testing that were found to be rabid (20.8\%) was slightly lower than the average for the previous 5 years ( $21.4 \%$;Table 2). Most rabid foxes were reported from states where raccoon rabies was enzootic ( $\mathrm{n}=270$ [86.8\%]; Figure 6). Among the 91 rabid foxes for which variant typing results were available, 63 ( $69.2 \%$ ) were infected with the raccoon rabies virus variant (Table 3). Other variants that were identified included the south central skunk rabies virus variant ( $\mathrm{n}=21$ [23.1\%]) and various bat rabies virus variants (5 [5.5\%]). One rabid fox was reported to be infected

Table 4-Species of bats submitted for rabies testing in the United States during 2014.

| Species (common name) | No. tested | No. positive | Percentage positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Order Chiroptera (unspeciated) | 14,612 | 815 | 5.6 |
| Eptesicus fuscus (big brown bat) | I 1,440 | 426 | 3.7 |
| Myotis lucifugus (little brown bat) | 607 | 23 | 3.8 |
| Tadarida brasiliensis (Mexican free-tailed bat) | 605 | 388 | 64.1 |
| Lasionycteris noctivagan (silver-haired bat) | 207 | 17 | 8.2 |
| Nycticeius humeralis (evening bat) | 189 | 13 | 6.9 |
| Lasiurus borealis (red bat) | 177 | 25 | 14.1 |
| Myotis californicus (California myotis) | 84 | 5 | 6.0 |
| Myotis yumanensis (Yuma myotis) | 49 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Myotis spp (not further differentiated) | 43 | 12 | 27.9 |
| Lasiurus cinereus (hoary bat) | 38 | 15 | 39.5 |
| Myotis evotis (long-eared myotis) | 21 | 5 | 23.8 |
| Myotis septentrionalis (northern long-eared myotis) | 14 | I | 7.1 |
| Molossidae spp (not further differentiated) | 12 | 1 | 8.3 |
| Perimyotis subflavus (tricolored bat) | 11 | 3 | 27.3 |
| Lasiurus seminolus (Seminole bat) | 10 | 1 | 10.0 |
| Myotis keenii (Keen myotis) | 5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Antrozous pallidus (desert pallid bat) | 4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Myotis thysanodes (fringed myotis) | 4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Myotis velifer (cave myotis) | 4 | 4 | 100.0 |
| Lasiurus intermedius (northern yellow bat) | 3 | 1 | 33.3 |
| Pteropus giganteus (Indian flying fox) | 3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Myotis austroriparius (southeastern myotis) | 2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Myotis sodalis (Indiana bat) | 2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Parastrellus hesperus (canyon bat) | 2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Plecotus rafinesquii (Rafinesque big-eared bat) | 2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Desmodus rotundus (common vampire bat) | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Lasiurus ega (southern yellow bat) | I | 1 | 100.0 |
| Plecotus townsendii (Townsend big-eared bat) | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Rousettus aegyptiacus (Egyptian rousette) | 1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 28,154 | I,756 | 6.2 |



Figure 5-Reported cases of rabies involving skunks, by county, during 2014. Histogram represents number of counties in each category for total number of skunks submitted for rabies testing. Point locations for rabid skunks were randomly selected within each reporting jurisdiction.


Figure 6-Reported cases of rabies involving foxes, by county, during 2014. Histogram represents number of counties in each category for total number of foxes submitted for rabies testing. Point locations for rabid foxes were randomly selected within each reporting jurisdiction.
with the Arctic fox rabies virus variant and another with the Arizona gray fox rabies virus variant. For 2 years in a row, no rabid foxes were found infected with the Texas gray fox rabies virus variant. The Texas gray fox variant was last detected in a cow in 2013.

## Other wild animals

Puerto Rico reported 32 rabid mongooses during 2014, an $15.8 \%$ decrease from the 38 cases reported in 2013 (Table 1). Other reported rabid wildlife included 18 bobcats (Lynx rufus), 9 coyotes (Canis latrans), 4 deer (presumably Odocoileus virginianus), 2 opossums (Didelphis virginiana), and 1 otter (presumably Lontra canadensis). Rabid rodents reported in 2014 included 43 groundhogs (Marmota monax) and 2 beavers (Castor canadensis), all of which were reported from states in which the raccoon rabies virus variant was considered enzootic. No rabid lagomorphs were reported during 2014. Rabies virus variants were reported for 3 of the 9 rabid coyotes identified in 2014. This included 2 coyotes infected with the south central skunk rabies virus variant (Texas) and 1 coyote infected with the eastern raccoon rabies virus variant (Virginia). One bobcat was found to have south central skunk rabies variant (Texas), and 2 were found to have the raccoon rabies variant (Vermont and Virginia). Three deer from Pennsylvania and 1 otter from Virginia were also found to be infected with the eastern raccoon rabies virus variant. Seven groundhogs from states where the eastern raccoon rabies virus variant was enzootic were also found to be infected with that variant (Table 3).

## Rabies in Domestic Animals

During 2014, domestic animals accounted for $47.9 \%$ of all animals submitted for testing but only $7.37 \%(n=445)$ of all rabies cases reported, representing a decrease of $4.71 \%$, compared with the 467 reported in 2013 (Table 1). More than half of all rabid domestic animals reported in 2014 were found in 5 states:Texas ( $\mathrm{n}=63$ ), Pennsylvania (53), Virginia (42), Oklahoma (33), and New York (32).

## Dogs

Fifty-nine rabid dogs were reported in 2014 , representing a $33.71 \%$ decrease from the 89 reported in 2013. Most of the rabid dogs were reported from Texas ( $\mathrm{n}=$ 14 [23.7\%]), Puerto Rico (12 [20.3\%]), and Oklahoma (9 [15.2\%]; Figure 7). Overall, the percentage of dogs submitted for rabies testing that were found to be rabid ( $0.3 \%$ ) was equal to the mean percentage for the
previous 5 years ( $0.3 \%$;Table 2).Vaccination status was reported for $44(75 \%)$ of the dogs determined to be rabid. Of these, 43 had no record or verified report of previous vaccination, and 1 had a history of vaccination but was not in compliance with the recommended vaccination schedule at the time of death. Results of virus variant typing were available for 26 (44\%) of the rabid dogs. Most $(\mathrm{n}=14)$ were infected with the south central skunk rabies virus variant, the raccoon rabies virus variant (7), or the north central skunk rabies virus variant (2; Table 3). One dog each was infected with the Artic fox, California skunk, and a bat rabies virus variants.

## Cats

Cats accounted for 61.1\% (272/445) of the rabid domestic animals reported in 2014, a $10.12 \%$ increase, compared with the 247 reported in 2013 (Table 1). The percentage of cats submitted for rabies testing that were found to be rabid (1.1\%) was not significantly different from the mean percentage for the previous 5 years ( $1.1 \%$;Table 2). Rabies vaccination status was reported for 33 of the 272 (12.1\%) rabid cats, of which 32 had no history of vaccination. One rabid cat was reported to have an up-todate rabies vaccination status. Most of the rabid cats were reported from states where the raccoon rabies virus variant was considered enzootic (Pennsylvania, 47 [17.3\%]; Virginia, 28 [10.3\%]; New York, 25 [9.2\%]; New Jersey, 22 [8.1\%]; and Texas, 22 [8.1\%]; Figure 8). Eighteen states and New York City did not report any rabid cats. Results of rabies virus variant typing were available for $65(23.9 \%)$ of the rabid cats (Table 3). Most ( $\mathrm{n}=38$ [58.5\%]) were infected with the raccoon rabies virus variant, with the remainder infected with the south central skunk rabies virus variant (26 [40.0\%]) or the Tadarida basiliensis bat rabies virus variant (1 [1.5\%]).

## Other domestic animals

A total of 78 rabid cattle were reported in 2014, representing a $9.30 \%$ decrease from the 86 reported in 2013 (Table 1). The percentage of cattle submitted for rabies testing that were found to be rabid (6.1\%) was slightly decreased, compared with the mean percentage for the previous 5 years ( $6.7 \%$;Table 2 ). Most of the rabid cattle were reported from Texas ( $\mathrm{n}=$ 15 [19\%]), Oklahoma (14 [18\%]), Virginia (12 [15\%]), and Kansas (9 [12\%]).

Twenty-five rabid horses and mules were reported during 2014, a $19.35 \%$ decrease, compared with the 31 reported during 2013 (Table 1). The percentage of horses submitted for testing that were found to be rabid (3.3\%) was significantly decreased, com-


Figure 7-Reported cases of rabies involving dogs, by county, during 2014. Histogram represents number of counties in each category for total number of dogs submitted for rabies testing. Point locations for rabid dogs were randomly selected within each reporting jurisdiction.


Figure 8-Reported cases of rabies involving cats, by county, during 2014. Histogram represents number of counties in each category for total number of cats submitted for rabies testing. Point locations for rabid cats were randomly selected within each reporting jurisdiction.
pared with the mean percentage for the previous 5 years (4.4\%; Table 2). The states with the greatest number of rabid horses were Texas (11 [44\%]), Oklahoma (5 [20\%]), Kansas (2 [8\%]), and Pennsylvania (2 [8\%]).

Ten rabid sheep and goats were reported in 2014, compared with the 9 reported during 2013. A single rabid llama was reported from South Carolina.

## Rabies in Humans

Diagnostic specimens (16 antemortem and 3 postmortem) from 19 human patients located in 16 states were submitted to the CDC for rabies diagnostic testing during 2014. Rabies virus infection was confirmed in 1. Rabies has been diagnosed in a total of 37 persons in the United States since 2003 (Table 5). Twenty-six of the

Table 5-Cases of rabies in humans in the United States and Puerto Rico, 2003 through October 2015, by circumstances of exposure and rabies virus variant.

| Date of onset | Date of death | Reporting state | Age (y) | Sex | Exposure* | Rabies virus variant $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 Feb 03 | 10 Mar 03 | VA | 25 | M | Unknown | Raccoon, eastern United States |
| 28 May 03 | 5 Jun 03 | PR | 64 | M | Bite Puerto Rico | Dog/mongoose, Puerto Rico |
| 23 Aug 03 | 14 Sep 03 | CA | 66 | M | Bite | Bat, Ln |
| 9 Feb 04 | 15 Feb 04 | FL | 41 | M | Bite, Haiti | Dog, Haiti |
| 27 Apr 04 | 3 May 04 | AR | 20 | M | Bite (organ donor) | Bat, Tb |
| 25 May 04 | 31 May 04 | OK | 53 | M | Liver transplant | Bat, Tb |
| 27 May 04 | 21 Jun 04 | TX | 18 | M | Kidney transplant | Bat, Tb |
| 29 May 04 | 9 Jun 04 | TX | 50 | F | Kidney transplant | Bat, Tb |
| 2 Jun 04 | 10 Jun 04 | TX | 55 | F | Arterial transplant | Bat, Tb |
| 12 Oct 04 | Survived | WI | 15 | F | Bite | Bat, unknown |
| 19 Oct 04 | 26 Oct 04 | CA | 22 | M | Unknown, El Salvador | Dog, El Salvador |
| 27 Sep 05 | 27 Sep 05 | MS | 10 | M | Contact | Bat, unknown |
| 4 May 06 | 12 May 06 | TX | 16 | M | Contact | Bat, Tb |
| 30 Sep 06 | 2 Nov 06 | IN | 10 | F | Bite | Bat, Ln |
| 15 Nov 06 | 14 Dec 06 | CA | 11 | M | Bite, Philippines | Dog, Philippines |
| 19 Sep 07 | 20 Oct 07 | MN | 46 | M | Bite | Bat, unknown |
| 16 Mar 08 | 18 Mar 08 | CA | 16 | M | Bite, Mexico | Fox, Tb related |
| 19 Nov 08 | 30 Nov 08 | MO | 55 | M | Bite | Bat, Ln |
| 25 Feb 09 | Survived | TX | 17 | F | Contact | Bat, unknown |
| 5 Oct 09 | 20 Oct 09 | IN | 43 | M | Unknown | Bat, Ps |
| 20 Oct 09 | 11 Nov 09 | MI | 55 | M | Contact | Bat, Ln |
| 23 Oct 09 | 20 Nov 09 | VA | 42 | M | Contact, India | Dog, India |
| 2 Aug 10 | 21 Aug 10 | LA | 19 | M | Bite, Mexico | Bat, Dr |
| 24 Dec 10 | 10 Jan II | WI | 70 | M | Unknown | Bat, Ps |
| 30 Apr 11 | Survived | CA | 8 | F | Unknown | Unknown |
| 30 Jun II | 20 Jul II | NJ | 73 | F | Bite, Haiti | Dog, Haiti |
| 14 Aug II | 21 Aug II | NY | 25 | M | Contact, Afghanistan | Dog,Afghanistan |
| 21 Aug II | I Sep II | NC | 20 | M | Unknown (organ donor) $\ddagger$ | Raccoon, eastern United States |
| I Sep II | 14 Oct II | MA | 40 | M | Contact, Brazil | Dog, Brazil |
| 3 Dec II | 19 Dec 11 | SC | 46 | F | Unknown | Bat, Tb |
| 22 Dec 11 | 23 Jan 12 | MA | 63 | M | Contact | Bat, My sp |
| 6 Jul 12 | 31 Jul 12 | CA | 34 | M | Bite | Bat, Tb |
| 31 Jan 13 | 27 Feb I3 | MD | 49 | M | Kidney transplant | Raccoon, eastern United States |
| 16 May I3 | 11 Jun 13 | TX | 28 | M | Unknown, Guatemala | Dog, Guatemala |
| 12 Sep 14 | 26 Sep 14 | MO | 52 | M | Unknown | Bat, Ps |
| 02 Aug 15 | 23 Aug 15 | MA | 65 | M | Bite, Philippines | Dog, Philippines |
| 17 Sep 15 | 3 Oct 15 | WY | 77 | F | Contact | Bat, Ln |

[^3]37 (70\%) individuals acquired the disease in the United States or Puerto Rico. Organ or tissue transplantation was identified as the source of infection for 5 of these 26 (19\%) individuals. Bats were implicated as the source of infection in 17 of the 26 ( $65 \%$ ) individuals who acquired the disease in the United States or Puerto Rico, with a bat bite reported in 7 cases, bat contact without a reported bite in 6 cases, and a rabies virus associated with bats without a known exposure identified in 4 cases. The remaining 4 individuals who acquired the disease in the United States or Puerto Rico consisted of 2 patients who were infected with the raccoon rabies virus variant, 1 who was infected with the mongoose rabies virus variant (Puerto Rico), and 1 (the only patient who survived) who was infected with an unknown rabies virus variant. Patients who acquired the disease in the United States or Puerto Rico from a source other than organ or tissue transplantation were predominantly male (15/21 [71\%]) with a mean age of 38.7 years (range, 8 to 77 years). Imported cases represented $30 \%(11 / 37)$ of the human rabies cases reported in the United States since 2003. Phylogenetic analysis or epidemiological links indicated infection occurred in 8 different countries following a bite or contact with a dog in 7 cases, a fox bite in 1 case, a vampire bat bite in 1 case, and an unknown exposure involving a canine rabies virus variant in 2 cases. Imported cases were predominantly male $(10 / 11)$ with a mean age of 34.7 years (range, 11 to 73 years).

The single human rabies virus infection that occurred in 2014 in the United States was reported in Missouri. In September 2014, a 52 -year-old man presented to a Missouri emergency department with neck pain that radiated to his left arm and hand. A diagnosis of cervical muscle strain and radiculopathy was made, and the patient was treated and discharged. However, symptoms persisted and progressed to include left arm numbness and tingling, bilateral upper body tremors, anxiousness, and hallucinations, resulting in hospital admission. The patient's condition deteriorated rapidly, and he was transferred to a tertiary care hospital, where he required intubation. After extensive diagnostic testing failed to identify the etiology of the patient's illness, rabies was suspected given the patient's unexplained rapidly progressive encephalitis and self-reported hydrophobia. Samples collected antemortem were submitted to the CDC for rabies testing, which confirmed the diagnosis of rabies on September 24, 2014. Genetic sequencing identified a rabies virus variant associated with the tricolored bat, Perimyotis subflavus. Following the diagnosis, life support was withdrawn, and the patient died on September 26, 2014. Although the patient lived in a densely wooded area and had reportedly found a bat in his home on at least 1 occasion, no specific exposure events were identified.

## Rabies in Canada and Mexico

In 2014, rabies management in Canada changed substantially, with many activities previously conducted by the federal government being assumed by
provincial authorities. Submission of samples to Canadian Food Inspection Agency laboratories during this transition period varied from one province to the next, with an overall $44.6 \%$ decrease in the number of animals submitted for rabies testing in 2014 ( $\mathrm{n}=$ 1,918 ), compared with the number submitted in 2013 $(3,466)$. In 2014, 93 of the 1,918 (4.8\%) samples submitted for rabies testing yielded positive results. Most samples were tested by means of the direct fluorescent antibody test, with a small number tested by use of a direct rapid immunohistochemical test $(\mathrm{n}=4)$. Samples from 4 human patients suspected to have rabies were tested with a quantitative reverse transcription PCR assay, but results were negative for all 4.The province of Saskatchewan had the largest number of cases ( $\mathrm{n}=20$ ), followed by Ontario (18) and Manitoba (15). Bats accounted for the highest proportion of cases (46 [49\%]), followed by striped skunks (22 [24\%]) and Arctic foxes ( 10 [11\%]). In western Canada, skunk rabies virus variants were detected in 3 cattle, 1 horse, and 1 cat. In northern Canada, 4 dogs were found to be infected with fox rabies virus variants. One cat from the province of Quebec was infected with a bat rabies virus variant.A rabid fox was detected in Labrador, and 2 rabid raccoons were detected in New Brunswick, which had been free from raccoon rabies since 2002. These outbreaks continued into 2015 with 12 and 24 cases (as of October 31, 2015) in Labrador and New Brunswick, respectively. Since May 2012, only animals infected with bat rabies virus variants have been detected in southwestern Ontario, allowing this region to be declared free from both raccoon and fox rabies virus variants in 2014.

No human deaths from rabies were reported from Mexico in 2014. There were 10 reports of rabid dogs nationally. In the state of Chiapas, 9 rabid dogs were reported from 5 municipalities. In Yucatan, 1 dog was reported to have died of rabies after being attacked by a skunk. House-to-house vaccination campaigns were carried out in both states after these cases were reported.

## Discussion

Since 2006, the CDC has annually requested information on all animals submitted for rabies testing. The 104,313 animals submitted for rabies testing during 2014 represented a significant increase, compared with the mean number submitted during the previous 5 years ( $\mathrm{n}=100,551 ; 95 \%$ confidence interval, 97,579 to 103,523 ). Laboratory testing of animals suspected to be rabid remains a critical public health function. Ruling out rabies reduces the number of individuals receiving postexposure prophylaxis unnecessarily, which can reduce adverse event rates and health-care costs related to rabies exposures. ${ }^{16}$

The national rabies surveillance system relies on routine passive investigation of animals suspected to be rabid by state and local health departments. Each year, 50 states and 3 jurisdictions (Puerto Rico, the District of Columbia, and New York City) report the
results of these investigations to the CDC. That information was used to compile the present report. There is currently no unified national protocol for investigating animals suspected to be rabid or for reporting these results to federal public health authorities. This limitation often complicates the timely review and interpretation of national and regional trends in rabies activity. In 2012, the CDC provided 2 grants for states to develop electronic animal-bite management systems with the aim of improving data quality and timeliness of reporting. Georgia reported a 3-fold increase in bite case detection after the electronic management system was implemented. ${ }^{17}$ Adoption of these types of electronic reporting systems by more reporting jurisdictions has the potential to improve patient care, data quality, and timeliness of reporting for national and regional analysis.

Although the canine rabies virus variant has been eliminated from the United States, management of potential rabies exposures in humans stemming from contact with wildlife remains critical. Most human cases that have occurred in the United States were due to bat exposures that were either unrecognized or not considered serious enough to merit medical attention. In those states where only bat rabies virus variants are found, submission rates for rabies testing are significantly lower than in states that have enzootic raccoon and skunk rabies virus variants. This may relate to differences in perceptions of rabies risk in areas that have low to negligible rates of terrestrial rabies. ${ }^{16,18}$ However, any mammal is capable of acquiring and transmitting rabies; therefore, it is important for public health advocates to continue educational outreach efforts regarding the risk of rabies from contact with wildlife, regardless of the species of animal involved in the exposure. Appropriate risk assessment and judicious application of postexposure prophylaxis remain important focuses of rabies education for health-care providers in the United States.

The direct fluorescent antibody test is a highly sensitive and highly specific test for in vitro detection of rabies virus antigen in brain and submaxillary gland tissue. Results of this test have clinical and public health implications regarding appropriate and timely rabies postexposure prophylaxis. The reliability of the direct fluorescent antibody test depends on the availability of optimal reagents. During 2014 and 2015, multiple shortages of high-quality reagents and commercial conjugates increased the number of indeterminate rabies test results. These inconclusive results often required diagnostic testing laboratories to expend additional resources to verify test results or necessitated sending samples elsewhere for external confirmation. This places an additional burden on laboratories with minimal resources for rabies diagnostic testing, and the delay in reporting results can impede the proper public health response to a rabies case. In response to these problems, the National Working Group on Rabies Diagnosis drafted recommendations
distributed to all laboratories performing rabies diagnostic testing in the United States regarding revalidation and emergency use of expired or suboptimal laboratory reagents and conjugates during periods of shortage. ${ }^{19}$

The passive rabies surveillance system in the United States is arguably one of the most robust in the world, with decades of data providing accurate information about the presence and absence of rabies on a geographic and animal-reservoir-species basis. This surveillance program has shown that in the United States, there are 5 distinct antigenic rabies virus variants associated with 8 terrestrial reservoir species and $>13$ rabies virus variants associated with bats. Although the geographic distributions of these reservoir species and associated virus variants have generally remained consistent for the past decade, the introduction of a new variant or a shift in a rabies variant into a new host could have pronounced public health implications. Despite this, only $29 \%$ of rabies cases were variant typed in 2014, which was unchanged from the percentage typed in 2013. The virus variants associated with $>70 \%$ of rabid foxes and $75 \%$ of bats were not determined, despite the observation that these 2 host species have been associated with recent suspected host shift events. ${ }^{20-23}$ Improvements in species identification and variant typing in high-risk animal species will improve the understanding of rabies virus variant distribution in the United States and risks associated with certain animals. Timely testing, typing, and reporting may also increase the chances of early detection of potential host shift events, allowing for rapid mitigation responses.

Despite the elimination of the canine rabies virus variant from the United States, 6 of the 8 terrestrial variants in circulation are closely related to the canine variant and likely spread to these wildlife reservoirs from dogs when the canine rabies virus variant was endemic. Therefore, reverse transmission of these canine-lineage viruses back to dogs may be a plausible threat and needs to be monitored. International importation of pets also poses a risk for reintroduction of the canine rabies virus variant or the introduction of novel rabies virus variants from abroad. Despite a slew of laws and regulations aimed at preventing the importation of rabid animals, a study ${ }^{24}$ conducted in 2013 showed that $>2,800$ dogs imported into the United States each year have no history of rabies vaccination notwithstanding the fact that they are from countries where rabies is endemic. There have been at least 3 dogs with rabies imported into the United States since 2007. ${ }^{25-27}$ With the continuous risk that a rabies virus variant will be reintroduced into dogs, public health systems must remain vigilant of the variants affecting dogs. To maintain a canine rabies-free status and ensure timely detection of epidemiological changes, every dog in the United States in which rabies is diagnosed should undergo variant typing with results reported to the national surveillance program.

## 2015 Rabies Update

Two human rabies cases were reported in the United States in 2015.The first was detected in August 2015 when a 65 -year-old man who had recently returned to Massachusetts following a trip to the Philippines was hospitalized with vomiting and epigastric pain. His clinical status deteriorated rapidly, and he died onAugust 23. Prior to death, it was discovered that the patient had been bitten by a dog on June 30 while in the Philippines and that the dog had died shortly after this exposure. Antemortem diagnostic testing confirmed infection with the rabies virus, and genetic sequencing identified a rabies virus variant associated with dogs in the Philippines. The second case was detected in September 2015 when a 77-year-old female was admitted to a hospital in Wyoming with progressive weakness, ataxia, dysarthria, and dysphagia. Her condition deteriorated, and she was transferred to a referral hospital in Utah for further care. The patient's family informed clinicians that the patient had had contact with a bat in her home in August 2015 but did not seek medical care for rabies postexposure prophylaxis. Rabies virus infection was confirmed, and a rabies virus variant associated with the silver-haired bat (Lasionycteris noctivagans) was identified. The patient died on October 3.
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[^0]:    
    $\overline{=}=$ Not applicable. NYC $=$ New York City. Pos $=$ Positive.
    Total cases refers to the total number of rabies cases in domestic animals, wild life, and humans. Reservoir refers to the major rabies virus variant terrestrial reservoir in the locality.

[^1]:    *Significantly ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) different from mean value for 2009 through 2013.
    $\mathrm{Cl}=$ Confidence interval.

[^2]:    *One llama was reported to be infected with a raccoon variant. †Other wild included 2 coyotes and I bobcat infected with the south central skunk variant and I coyote 3 deer, I otter, and 2 bobcats infected with the eastern raccoon variant. $\ddagger$ Seven groundhogs were reported to be infected with a raccoon variant.

[^3]:    *Data for exposure history are reported when plausible information was reported directly by the patient (if lucid or credible) or when a reliable account of an incident consistent with rabies virus exposure (eg, dog bite) was reported by an independent witness (usually a family member). Exposure histories are categorized as bite, contact (eg, waking to find bat on exposed skin) but no known bite was acknowledged, or unknown (ie, no known contact with an animal was elicited during case investigation). $\dagger$ Variants of the rabies virus associated with terrestrial animals in the United States and Puerto Rico are identified with the names of the reservoir animal (eg, dog or raccoon), followed by the name of the most definitive geographic entity (usually the country) from which the variant has been identified. Variants of the rabies virus associated with bats are identified with the names of the species of bats in which they have been found to be circulating. Because information regarding the location of the exposure and the identity of the exposing animal is almost always retrospective and much information is frequently unavailable, the location of the exposure and the identity of the animal responsible for the infection are often limited to deduction. $\ddagger$ Infection was not identified until 2013, when an organ recipient developed rabies.

    Dr $=$ Desmodus rotundus. $\mathrm{Ln}=$ Lasionycteris noctivagans. My sp $=$ Myotis species. $\mathrm{Ps}=$ Perimyotis subflavus. $\mathrm{Tb}=$ Tadarida brasiliensis.

